arXiv:hep-th/9805050v4 18 Oct 1999

INJE-TP-98-5 & hep-th/9805050

Dilaton Test of Connection between $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ and 5D black hole

H.W. Lee, N.J. Kim and Y. S. Myung

Department of Physics, Inje University, Kimhae 621-749, Korea

Abstract

A 5D black hole(M_5) is investigated in the type IIB superstring theory compactified on $\mathrm{S}^1\times\mathrm{T}^4$. This corresponds to $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3\times\mathrm{T}^4$ in the near horizon with asymptotically flat space. Here the harmonic gauge is introduced to decouple the mixing between the dilaton and others. On the other hand we obtain the BTZ balck hole($\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3\times\mathrm{T}^4$) as the non-dilatonic solution. We calculate the greybody factor of the dilaton as a test scalar both for a 5D black hole($\mathrm{M}_5\times\mathrm{S}^1\times\mathrm{T}^4$) and the BTZ black hole($\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3\times\mathrm{T}^4$). The result of the BTZ black hole agrees with the greybody factor of the dilaton in the dilute gas approximation of a 5D black hole.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently anti-de Sitter spacetime(AdS) has attracted much interest. It appears that the conjecture relating the string(supergravity) theory on AdS to conformal field theory(CFT) on its boundary may resolve many problems in black hole physics [1–4]. The 5D black hole correspond to U-dual to BTZ$\times$S^3 [5,6]. Sfetsos and Skenderis calculated the entropies of non-extremal 5D black holes by applying Carlip's approach to the BTZ black hole. The BTZ black hole(locally AdS$_3$) has no curvature singularity [7] and is considered as a prototype for the general AdS/CFT correspondence. This is actually an exact solution of string theory [8] and there is an exact CFT with it on the boundary. Carlip has shown that the physical boundary degrees of freedom account for the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the BTZ black hole [9]. The other calculation was found in [10,11].

More recently, two of authors (Lee and Myung) calculated the greybody factor(absorption cross section) for a minimally coupled scalar both in M$_5\times$S$^1\times$T^4 and AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 [12]. These geometries are two solutions in type IIB theory: the first is the dilatonic solution(a 5D black hole), while the second is the non-dilatonic solution(the BTZ black hole). Although the near horizon geometries of two solutions are the same as AdS$_3$, the asymptotic structures are quite different. It turned out that two results are the same. This means that the near horizon AdS$_3$-geometry of a 5D black hole contains the essential information of a 5D black hole. However, this is the result for a free scalar, which decouples to any other fields. In order to confirm this result, we need an another test field which is a fixed scalar(a 10D dilaton).

In this paper we wish to calculate the greybody factor, using the dilaton. It is worth noting that an absorption cross section can be extracted from a solution of the differential equation. The perturbation analysis around M$_5\times$S$^1\times$T^4 and AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 reveals a complicated mixing between the dilaton and other fields. Here introducing the harmonic gauge, we disentangle the mixing completely and thus obtain decoupled dilaton equations. Sec.II deals with the supergravity calculation in a 5D black hole background. In Sec.III we find the BTZ solution and perform the same calculation to obtain the greybody factor of the dilaton in $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3\times\mathrm{T}^4$. Here we do not require any (Dirichlet or Neumann) condition at the spatial infinity, but the non-normalizable mode is used as a boundary condition to calculate the greybody factor. Finally we discuss our results in Sec.IV. In Appendix A, the explicit form of $\bar{R}_{MN}$ in the Einstein frame is presented. The form of the graviton h_{MN} is given in Appendix B.

II. SUPERGRAVITY CALCULATION : SCATTERING IN 5D BLACK HOLE

A. Background Solution

The low-energy action of type IIB theory in the Einstein frame is given by [13]

$$S^{10}_{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G^{10}_N}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}\left[R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12}e^{\Phi}H^2\right]. \tag{1}$$

Here H denotes the RR-three form and Φ is the 10D dilaton. The equations of motion for the action (1) lead to

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_M\Phi\nabla_N\Phi + \frac{1}{4}e^{\Phi}H_{MPQ}H_N{}^{PQ} - \frac{1}{48}e^{\Phi}H^2 g_{MN}, \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla^2\Phi - \frac{1}{12}e^{\Phi}H^2 = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla_M(e^{\Phi}H^{MNP}) = 0. \tag{4}$$

In addition, we have one Bianchi identity as the remaining Maxwell's equations

$$\partial_{[M}H_{NPQ]} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The contraction form of Eq.(2) is given by

$$R - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\Phi = 0. \tag{6}$$

The solution to Eqs.(2)-(4) are given by a 5D black hole and additional Kaluza-Klein moduli (ν, ν_5)

$$e^{-2\bar{\Phi}} = \frac{1}{g^2}\frac{f_5}{f_1}, \quad \bar{H} = \frac{2r_5^2}{g}\epsilon_3 + 2r_1^2 g e^{-2\bar{\Phi}} *_6 \epsilon_3, \tag{7}$$

$$\left(ds_{10}^2\right)_E = e^{2\bar{\nu}}dx_i^2 + e^{2\bar{\nu}_5}(dx_5 + A_{\tilde{P}}dx^{\tilde{P}})^2 + e^{-2(4\bar{\nu}+\bar{\nu}_5)/3}ds_{5D}^2 \tag{8}$$

with $\tilde{P} = 0,1,2,3,4$. This corresponds to the dilatonic solution. Here $e^{2\bar{\nu}} = f_1^{1/4}f_5^{-1/4}$, $e^{2\bar{\nu}_5} = f_1^{-3/4}f_5^{-1/4}f_n$, and the Kaluza-Klein gauge potential $A_0 = -r_0^2\sinh 2\sigma/2(r^2+r_n^2)$. A 5D black hole space-time(M$_5$) is given by

$$ds_{5D}^2 = -df^{-2/3}dt^2 + f^{1/3}[d^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2] \tag{9}$$

with $f = f_1 f_5 f_n$, $f_i = 1 + r_i^2/r^2$ and $d = 1 - r_0^2/r^2$. For simplicity, we consider only the dilute gas approximation of $r_1 = r_5 = R \gg r_0, r_n$ and $A_0 \simeq 0$. In this case one finds M$_5\times$S$^1\times$T^4 and its near horizon geometry with $\bar{\Phi} =$ constant, $\bar{R} \simeq 0$, $\bar{H}^2 = 0$ takes AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 as

$$\left(ds_{10}^2\right)_E \simeq ds_{BTZ}^2 + R^2 d\Omega_3^2 + \frac{r_1^2}{R^2}dx_i^2, \tag{10}$$

where the BTZ black hole space-time is given by [7]

$$ds_{BTZ}^2 = -\frac{(\rho^2-\rho_+^2)(\rho^2-\rho_-^2)}{\rho^2 R^2}dt^2 + \rho^2(d\varphi - \frac{J}{2\rho^2}dt)^2 + \frac{\rho^2 R^2}{(\rho^2-\rho_+^2)(\rho^2-\rho_-^2)}d\rho^2. \tag{11}$$

The explicit form of $\bar{R}_{MN}$ is given in Appendix A.

B. Perturbation

Now we introduce the small perturbations around the dilute gas background as

$$g_{MN} = \bar{g}_{MN} + h_{MN}, \tag{12}$$

$$H_{MNP} = \bar{H}_{MNP} + \mathcal{H}_{MNP}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \delta\phi, \tag{14}$$

where h_{MN} is given in Appendix B. This is enough for the s-wave calculation. Then the linearized equations for Eqs.(6), (3), (4) take the form

$$\bar{g}^{MN}\delta R_{MN}(h) - h^{MN}\bar{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi = 0, \tag{15}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi - \frac{1}{12}\left\{2\bar{H}_{MNP}\mathcal{H}^{MNP} - 3\bar{H}_{MNP}\bar{H}^{QNP}h^{M}_{\ Q}\right\} = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{\nabla}_M\mathcal{H}^{MNP} - (\bar{\nabla}_M h^{N}_{\ Q})\bar{H}^{MQP} + (\bar{\nabla}_M h^{P}_{\ Q})\bar{H}^{MQN}\\ &\qquad - (\bar{\nabla}_M\hat{h}^{M}_{\ Q})\bar{H}^{QNP} - h^{M}_{\ Q}(\bar{\nabla}_M\bar{H}^{QNP}) + (\partial_M\delta\phi)\bar{H}^{MNP} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the Lichnerowitz operator $\delta R_{MN}(h)$ and $\hat{h}_{MN}$ are given by [14]

$$\delta R_{MN}(h) = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^2 h_{MN} + \bar{R}_{Q(M}h^{Q}_{\ N)} - \bar{R}_{PMQN}h^{PQ} - \bar{\nabla}_{(M}\bar{\nabla}_{|P|}\hat{h}^{P}_{\ N)}, \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{h}_{MN} = h_{MN} - \frac{h}{2}\bar{g}_{MN}, \quad h = h^{Q}_{\ Q}. \tag{19}$$

Here for simplicity, one chooses the harmonic gauge for the graviton,

$$\bar{\nabla}_M\hat{h}^{MP} = 0. \tag{20}$$

For the s-wave calculation, we need not the full linearized equation ($\delta R_{MN}\cdots$) of Eq.(2) but its scalar equation ($\delta R\cdots$) of Eq.(6). Eqs. (15) and (16) lead to

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(h + \delta\phi) - \frac{4R^4 d}{r^6 f_5^3}(h^{r}_{\ r} + h^{t}_{\ t} + h^{x_5}_{\ x_5} - h^{\theta_i}_{\ \theta_i}) = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi + \frac{4R^4}{r^6 f_5^3}\left[2(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_\theta) - (h^{r}_{\ r} + h^{t}_{\ t} + h^{x_5}_{\ x_5} - h^{\theta_i}_{\ \theta_i})\right] = 0. \tag{22}$$

Here $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\epsilon_3} = \mathcal{H}_\theta\left(\frac{2r_5^2}{g}\epsilon_3\right), \quad \mathcal{H}_{*_6\epsilon_3} = \mathcal{H}\left(2r_1^2 g e^{-2\bar{\Phi}} *_6 \epsilon_3\right). \tag{23}$$

Now we attempt to disentangle the last terms in Eq.(21) and (22) by using both the harmonic gauge and Kalb-Ramond equation. When $N = t, P = x_5$, solving both Eqs. (17) and (20) leads to

$$\left(\mathcal{H} + \delta\phi - h^{t}_{\ t} - h^{x_5}_{\ x_5} - h^{r}_{\ r} + \frac{h}{2}\right)' = 0, \tag{24}$$

where the prime($'$) means the differentiation with respect to r. Here one finds an important relation

$$2\mathcal{H}+2\delta\phi-h^{t}{}_{t}-h^{x_5}{}_{x_5}-h^{r}{}_{r}+h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i}+h^{i}{}_{i}=0. \tag{25}$$

The remaining choices of N, P lead to the same relation (25). For $N=\theta_2, P=\theta_3$, one obtains the relation

$$2\mathcal{H}_\theta+2\delta\phi+h^{t}{}_{t}+h^{x_5}{}_{x_5}+h^{r}{}_{r}-h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i}+h^{i}{}_{i}=0. \tag{26}$$

On the other hand, if one uses the dilaton gauge ($h^{RQ}\Gamma^P_{RQ}=\bar{\nabla}_R\hat{h}^{RP}$) in Refs. [15,16], instead of the harmonic gauge, we obtain the same relations (25) and (26). Further taking into account the Bianchi identity of Eq.(5) leads to

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(t,x_5,r)\Rightarrow \quad \text{a dynamical one,}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\theta=\mathcal{H}_\theta(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)\Rightarrow \quad \text{a non dynamical one.}$$

And thus we can set $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ to be zero. Using Eqs.(25)-(26), Eqs. (21) and (22) reduce to

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(h+\delta\phi)+\frac{4R^4d}{r^6f_5^3}(2\delta\phi+h^{i}{}_{i})=0, \tag{27}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi-\frac{2R^4}{r^6f_5^3}(2\delta\phi+h^{i}{}_{i})=0. \tag{28}$$

Hereafter we consider only the propagation of the dilaton in Eq.(28). Assuming $h^{i}{}_{i}=b\delta\phi$, equation (28) takes the form

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi-\frac{2R^4}{r^6f_5^3}(2+b)\delta\phi=0. \tag{29}$$

Now we are in a position to determine the parameter b. From (7) and (8) one gets the relation

$$e^{2\bar{\Phi}}=g^2\frac{f_1}{f_5}=g^2e^{8\bar{\nu}}, \tag{30}$$

which implies that the linearized relation for $\delta\nu(\nu=\bar{\nu}+\delta\nu)$ is given by

$$\delta\phi=4\delta\nu. \tag{31}$$

Considering the perturbation $g_{ij}=\bar{g}_{ij}+h_{ij}$, then one obtains

$$h^i{}_i = 8\delta\nu = 2\delta\phi, \tag{32}$$

which means that $b = 2$.

As a result, one obtains the decoupled dilaton equation

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \delta\phi - \frac{8R^4}{r^6 f_5^3}\delta\phi = 0. \tag{33}$$

In deriving the decoupled equation, we may choose either the dilaton gauge or the Krasnitz-Klebanov setting for $h_{\mu\nu}$ [17]. However, these calculations lead to the same equation (33). This means that the dilaton is a gauge invariant quantity. And thus it is regarded as a good test field. This is a reason why we choose h_{MN} to be block diagonal as in Appendix B.

C. Greybody Factor

Taking into account the symmetries in (8), $\delta\phi$ can be seperated as

$$\delta\phi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{iK_5 x_5} e^{iK_i x^i} Y_l(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)\delta\tilde{\phi}(r). \tag{34}$$

First let us consider the wave equation in the ten-dimensional background (8)

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{d'}{d} + \frac{3}{r}\right)\frac{d}{dr}\right]\delta\tilde{\phi} + \left[\frac{\omega^2 f}{d^2} - \frac{f_5^2}{f_n d}K_5^2 - \frac{f_5}{d}K_i^2 - \frac{l(l+2)}{r^2 d} - \frac{8R^4}{r^6 f_5^2 d}\right]\delta\tilde{\phi} = 0. \tag{35}$$

For s-wave calculation, we take $K_5 = K_i = 0,\ l = 0$. Then (35) leads to the effective 5D wave equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{d'}{d} + \frac{3}{r}\right)\frac{d}{dr}\right]\delta\tilde{\phi} + \frac{\omega^2 f}{d^2}\delta\tilde{\phi} - \frac{8R^4}{r^2(r^2+R^2)^2 d}\delta\tilde{\phi} = 0. \tag{36}$$

This is just the linearized equation of the fixed scalar ν in the effective 5D theory [17,18]. The s-wave absorption cross section for $M_5 \times S^1$ is given by [18]

$$\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{M_5\times S^1}\right)_{l=0,K_5=0} = \left(\frac{\pi^3 R^8}{64}\right) 2\pi R(\omega^2 + 16\pi^2 T_R^2)(\omega^2 + 16\pi^2 T_L^2)\omega \frac{e^{\omega/T_H} - 1}{[e^{\omega/2T_R} - 1][e^{\omega/2T_L} - 1]}. \tag{37}$$

In the low energy limit of $\omega \to 0 (\omega < T_L, T_R, T_H)$, this leads to

$$\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{M_5\times S^1}\right)^{\omega\to 0}_{l=0,K_5=0} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_H^{6D}}{4}\left(\frac{r_0}{R}\right)^4, \tag{38}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_H^{6D} (= \mathcal{A}_H^{5D} \times 2\pi R = 4\pi^3 r_n R^3)$ is the area of horizon for $M_5 \times S^1$.

III. ADS-CALCULATION : SCATTERING IN ADS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4

A. Background Solution

So far we calculate the cross section for a 5D black hole with asymptotically flat space. This leads to AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 only in the near horizon. However, the AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 exists as the other solution to the type IIB theory in the string frame. Hence we can extend the near horizon AdS$_3$-geometry to the AdS-spacetime as a whole. This new solution is useful for comparison.

We start with the action in the string frame

$$S^{10}_{\rm string} = \frac{1}{16\pi G^{10}_N}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}\left[e^{-2\Phi}\left(R+4(\nabla\Phi)^2\right)-\frac{1}{12}H^2\right]. \tag{39}$$

The equations of motion are given by

$$R_{MN} = -\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2\Phi g_{MN}+\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\Phi)^2 g_{MN}-2\nabla_M\nabla_N\Phi+\frac{1}{4}e^{2\Phi}H_{MPQ}H_N{}^{PQ}-\frac{1}{48}e^{2\Phi}H^2 g_{MN}, \tag{40}$$
$$R-4(\nabla\Phi)^2+4\nabla^2\Phi = 0, \tag{41}$$
$$\nabla_M(H^{MNP}) = 0. \tag{42}$$

From Eqs.(40) and (41), one finds the new dilaton equation

$$\nabla^2\Phi-2(\nabla\Phi)^2-\frac{1}{12}e^{2\Phi}H^2 = 0. \tag{43}$$

Solving the above equations, the BTZ black hole(AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4) is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar\Phi = \text{constant},\quad \bar R = 0,\quad \bar H^2 = 0,\quad \bar R_{MN} = \frac{1}{4}\bar H_{MPQ}\bar H_N{}^{PQ},\\ &\bar R^{BTZ}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{R^2}\bar g^{BTZ}_{\mu\nu},\quad \bar R^{S^3}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{R^2}\bar g^{S^3}_{\mu\nu},\quad \bar H^{BTZ} = \frac{2}{gR}*_6\epsilon_3,\quad \bar H^{S^3} = \frac{2}{gR}\epsilon_3.\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The dilaton(Φ) plays no role in making the black hole solution. In this sense we call this as non-dilatonic solution.

B. Perturbations

The linearized equations for (41) and (43) around the $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3\times\mathrm{T}^4$ background take the form

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(8\delta\phi - h) + \frac{4}{R^2}(h^t{}_t + h^\varphi{}_\varphi + h^\rho{}_\rho - h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i}) = 0, \tag{45}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi + \frac{2}{R^2}\Big[2(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_\theta) - (h^t{}_t + h^\varphi{}_\varphi + h^\rho{}_\rho - h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i})\Big] = 0. \tag{46}$$

Here h_{MN} takes the similar form as in Appendix B. In order to decouple the last terms in the above, we need the harmonic gauge in Eq.(20) and Kalb-Ramond equation as [16]

$$\bar{\nabla}_M\mathcal{H}^{MPQ} - \bar{\nabla}_M h_N^{\ P}\bar{H}^{MNQ} + \bar{\nabla}_M h_N^{\ Q}\bar{H}^{MNP} - (\bar{\nabla}_M\hat{h}^M_{\ N})\bar{H}^{NPQ} - h^M_{\ N}(\bar{\nabla}_M\bar{H}^{NPQ}) = 0. \tag{47}$$

From these one finds two relations for AdS_3 and S^3

$$2\mathcal{H} - (h^t{}_t + h^\varphi{}_\varphi + h^\rho{}_\rho) + h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i} + h^i{}_i = 0, \tag{48}$$

$$2\mathcal{H}_\theta + h^t{}_t + h^\varphi{}_\varphi + h^\rho{}_\rho - h^{\theta_i}{}_{\theta_i} + h^i{}_i = 0, \tag{49}$$

Considering the Bianchi identity of Eq.(5) leads to $\mathcal{H}_\theta = 0$. If we use Eqs.(48) and (49) to decouple the last terms in Eqs.(45) and (46), these lead to

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(8\delta\phi - h) - \frac{4}{R^2}h^i{}_i = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi - \frac{2}{R^2}h^i{}_i = 0. \tag{51}$$

If $h^i{}_i = 0$, then one finds

$$h = 8\delta\phi, \quad \bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi = 0. \tag{52}$$

However this corresponds trivially to the linearized equation for a minimally coupled scalar. If $h^i{}_i = a\delta\phi$, then Eqs.(50) and (51) lead to

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\delta\phi - \frac{2a}{R^2}\delta\phi = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad h = 6\delta\phi. \tag{53}$$

In order to find a, we recall the relation

$$e^{2\bar{\Phi}} = g^2 \frac{f_1}{f_5} = g^2 e^{4\bar{\chi}}, \tag{54}$$

where $\bar{\chi}$ is defined through $ds_{10}^2 = e^{2\bar{\chi}} dx_i^2 + \cdots$. The linearized version of Eq.(54) implies

$$\delta\phi = 2\delta\chi. \tag{55}$$

And then we have $g_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + h_{ij}$ with $h^i{}_i = 8\delta\chi = 4\delta\phi$. Hence the final equation for the dilaton in the string frame takes the form

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \delta\phi - \frac{8}{R^2}\delta\phi = 0. \tag{56}$$

Also this corresponds to the equation for a minimally coupled scalar with $l' = 2$ on AdS$_3\times$S^3 [12].

C. Greybody Factor

In order to calculate the greybody factor, we have to solve the differential equation(56) with an appropriate boundary condition. In the conventional study of the BTZ black hole, one imposes the boundary condition at infinity to obtain the sensible result.

However we consider the geometry of AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4 as a whole without the boundary condition at spatial infinity. First $\delta\phi$ can be decomposed into

$$\delta\phi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} e^{iK_i x^i} Y_{l'}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)\psi(\rho). \tag{57}$$

In the s-wave approximation ($K_i = l' = 0$), Eq. (56) leads to

$$\nabla_{BTZ}^2 \psi(\rho) - \frac{8}{R^2}\psi(\rho) = 0. \tag{58}$$

Solving (58) with the non-normalizable mode as the boundary condition, the absorption cross section with $m = 0$ is given by [16]

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{AdS_3\times S^3}\right)_{m=0,l'=0} &= \frac{2\pi R}{3}\left(\frac{\pi^3 R^8}{64}\right)\omega[\omega^2 + 16\pi^2 T_L^2][\omega^2 + 16\pi^2 T_R^2] \\ &\times \frac{e^{\omega/T_H} - 1}{[e^{\omega/2T_R} - 1][e^{\omega/2T_L} - 1]}\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

which is the same form as that of a minimally coupled scalar with $m = 0, l' = 2$ [12]. In the low energy limit of $\omega \to 0$, this leads to

$$\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{AdS_3\times S^3}\right)^{\omega\to 0}_{m=0,l'=0} = \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{6D}_H}{4}\left(\frac{r_0}{R}\right)^4\right], \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{6D}_H = \mathcal{A}^{6D}_H. \tag{60}$$

IV. DISCUSSION

We investigate the dynamical behavior of the 5D black holes with the dilaton. Apart from counting the microstates of black holes, the dynamical behavior is also an important issue [13,17–19]. This is so because the greybody factor for the black hole arises as a consequence of scattering of a test field off the gravitational potential barrier surrounding the horizon. That is, this is an effect of spacetime curvature. Together with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [6,9,10], this seems to be a strong hint of a deep and mysterious connection between curvature and statistical mechanics.

First we study the greybody factor of the dilaton for a 5D black hole in $M_5\times S^1\times T^4$ with asymptotically flat space. It was understood that the greybody factor calculation makes sense when one defines asymptotic region as in Sec.III [2,3]. Hence it may not be possible in global AdS_3 because there is no asymptotic state corresponding to particle at infinity in AdS_3. However, we calculate the absorption cross section of the dilaton in $AdS_3\times S^3\times T^4$. Actually we use the matching of the AdS region to asymptotically AdS_3 to obtain the greybody factor. In this case we don't require any boundary condition [20], because the non-normalizable mode is used to calculate the greybody factor. This mode acts as a fixed background and its boundary value acts as a source for operator with (2,2) in the boundary theory.

Two of authors(Lee and Myung) showed that the s-wave greybody factor of a minimally coupled scalar for the $AdS_3\times S^3\times T^4$ has the same form as the one for $M_5\times S^1\times T^4$ in the dilute gas approximation [12]. In this case, a free field was used for a calculation [13,19]. In the present calculation, we use the 10D dilaton(a fixed scalar) as a test field [17,18]. We find the same form of absorption cross section for both two cases as

$$\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{M_5\times S^1}\right)_{l=0,K_5=0} = 3\left(\sigma^{\delta\phi}_{AdS_3\times S^3}\right)_{m=0,l'=0}, \tag{61}$$

upto the constant 3. This means that the AdS$_3$-calculation recovers a result of 5D black hole.

In conclusion, we study the cross section for the dilaton within two supergravity solutions. The first is on the M$_5\times$S$^1\times$T^4 (5D black hole with asymptotically flat space) and the second is on AdS$_3\times$S$^3\times$T^4(the BTZ black hole with asymptotically AdS). Hence the near horizon geometries of two solutions are the same as AdS$_3$, but the asymptotic structures are quite different. The latter calculation is based on the non-normalizable mode which is very useful for both the matching procedure and bounadry condition at infinity of the AdS$_3$ [21].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Minstry of Education, Project NOs. BSRI-98-2413 and BSRI-98-2441.

APPENDIX A: THE CALCULATION OF $\bar{R}_{MN}$ IN THE EINSTEIN FRAME

The explicit form of $\bar{R}_{MN}$ with $r_1 = r_5 \gg r_0, r_n$ is given by

$$\bar{R}_{tt} = \frac{2d(f_n^2 r_5^4 d + r_n^4 f_5^2 d + f_n^2 r_5^2 r_0^2 f_5 + r_n^2 f_5^2 r_0^2 f_n)}{r^6 f_5^4 f_n^3}, \tag{A1}$$

$$\bar{R}_{xx} = -\frac{2(f_n^2 r_5^4 d - r_n^4 f_5^2 d + f_n^2 r_5^2 r_0^2 f_5 - r_n^2 f_5^2 r_0^2 f_n)}{r^6 f_5^4 f_n}, \tag{A2}$$

$$\bar{R}_{rr} = -\frac{2(f_n^2 r_5^4 d + r_n^4 f_5^2 d + f_n^2 r_5^2 r_0^2 f_5 + r_n^2 f_5^2 r_0^2 f_n)}{r^6 f_5^2 f_n^2 d}, \tag{A3}$$

$$\bar{R}_{\theta_1\theta_1} = \frac{2(r_5^4 d + r_0^2 f_5 r_5^2 - r_0^2 f_5^2 r^2 + r^4 f_5^2 - r^4 f_5^2 d)}{r^4 f_5^2}, \tag{A4}$$

$$\bar{R}_{\theta_2\theta_2} = \frac{2\sin^2\theta_1(r_5^4 d + r_0^2 f_5 r_5^2 - r_0^2 f_5^2 r^2 + r^4 f_5^2 - r^4 f_5^2 d)}{r^4 f_5^2}, \tag{A5}$$

$$\bar{R}_{\theta_3\theta_3} = \frac{2\sin^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2(r_5^4 d + r_0^2 f_5 r_5^2 - r_0^2 f_5^2 r^2 + r^4 f_5^2 - r^4 f_5^2 d)}{r^4 f_5^2}, \tag{A6}$$

Here one finds

$$\bar{R} = -\frac{2(r_0^2 + r_n^2)r_n^2}{r^6 + r^4 r_5^2 + 2r^4 r_n^2 + 2r^2 r_n^2 r_5^2 + r^2 r_n^4 + r_n^4 r_5^2} \simeq 0 \tag{A7}$$

in the near horizon.

APPENDIX B: THE BLOCK DIAGONAL FORM OF GRAVITON FOR $\mathbf{M}_5{\times}\mathbf{S}^1{\times}\mathbf{T}^4$ $(\mathbf{ADS}_3{\times}\mathbf{S}^3{\times}\mathbf{T}^4)$

$$h_{MN} = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
h_{tt} & h_{tx_5} & h_{tr} & & & & \\
h_{x_5t} & h_{x_5x_5} & h_{x_5r} & & 0 & & 0 \\
h_{rt} & h_{rx_5} & h_{rr} & & & & \\
 & & & h_{\theta_1\theta_1} & h_{\theta_1\theta_2} & h_{\theta_1\theta_3} & \\
 & 0 & & h_{\theta_2\theta_1} & h_{\theta_2\theta_2} & h_{\theta_2\theta_3} & 0 \\
 & & & h_{\theta_3\theta_1} & h_{\theta_3\theta_2} & h_{\theta_3\theta_3} & \\
 & 0 & & & 0 & & h_{ij}
\end{array}\right] \tag{B1}$$

REFERENCES

[1] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231(1998), hep-th/9711200.

[2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428**, 105(1998), hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253(1998), hep-th/9802150.

[4] G.T. Horowitz and H. Oguri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4116(1998), hep-th/9802116; E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 505(1998), hep-th/9803131; G.T. Horowitz and S. Ross, JHEP 9804, 015(1998), hep-th/9803085.

[5] S. Hyun, hep-th/9704005.

[6] K. Sfetsos and K. Skenderis, Nucl. Phys. **B517**, 179(1998), hep-th/9711138.

[7] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and A. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849(1992); S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 2853(1995).

[8] G. Horowitz and D. Welch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 328(1993); N. Kaloper, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 2598(1993); A. Ali and A. Kumar, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, 2045(1993).

[9] S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 632(1995); **D55**, 878(1997).

[10] A. Strominger, JHEP 9802, 009(1998), hep-th/9712251; D. Birmingham, I. Sachs ans S. Sen, Phys. Lett. **B424**, 275(1998), hep-th/9801019; N. Kaloper, Phys. Lett. **B434**, 285(1998), hep-th/9804062.

[11] K. Behrndt, I. Brunner, and I. Gaida, Phys. Lett. **B432**, 310(1998), hep-th/9804159.

[12] H.W. Lee and Y.S. Myung, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104013(1998), hep-th/9804095.

[13] G.T. Horowitz, J.M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. **B383**, 151(1996); F. Dowker, D. Kastor and J. Traschen, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 124025(1998), hep-th/9702109.

[14] R. Gregory and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2837(1993).

[15] H.W. Lee, N.J. Kim, Y.S. Myung, and J.Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 7361(1998).

[16] H.W. Lee, N.J. Kim, and Y.S. Myung, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 084022(1998), hep-th/9803080.

[17] H.W. Lee, Y.S. Myung and J.Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104006(1998), hep-th/9708099.

[18] C. Callan, S. Gubser, I.G. Klebanov and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B489**, 65(1997); M. Krasnitz and I.G. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 2173(1997), hep-th/9703216.

[19] S.D. Mathur, hep-th/9704050; S.S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 4984(1997), hep-th/9704195; A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S. Wadia, Phys. Lett. **B388**, 51(1996); S. Das, G. Gibbons and S. Mathur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 417(1997).

[20] H.W. Lee, N.J. Kim, and Y.S. Myung, Phys. Lett. **B441**, 83(1998); hep-th/9803227.

[21] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, and A. Lawrence, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 046003(1999); hep-th/9805171.